# Impact of pupil premium strategy 21-22

### Pupil Premium Gap Analysis

151 of your school's 411 pupils, that are considered for Pupil Premium, classified as disadvantaged, this is 36.7% of your cohort.

This is 10.2% higher than the national average of 26.5%.

36.2% (81) of girls are disadvantaged, 9.7% higher than the national of 26.5%. 37.4% (70) of boys are disadvantaged, 10.8% higher than the national of 26.6%.

#### **Attendance**

2020/21 - Disadvantaged attendance = 94.4% (non-disadvantaged = 96.3%)

2021/22 - Disadvantaged attendance = 91.5% (non-disadvantaged = 93.6%) (

Persistent Absentees – whole school = 77 pupils (30%)

Persistent Absentees who are disadvantaged = 49 pupils (31%)

TW school's Disadvantaged cohort of 137 enrolments have an **Unauthorised Absence** of **4.1%**.

This is 3.3% higher than the national Non-Disadvantaged cohort at 0.8%.

TW school's Disadvantaged cohort of 137 enrolments have an **Authorised Absence** of **4.2%**.

This is **0.5%** lower than the **national Non-Disadvantaged** cohort at **4.7%**.

#### Attainment for the academic year 2021 - 2022

#### Reception

End of Reception data: non-disadvantaged children outperformed disadvantaged children by 29%. Nationally, non-disadvantaged children outperformed disadvantaged by 20%.

<u>Years 1 - 6</u>

Disadva	ntaged			Non-Disadvantaged					
132	Reading	76	58%	(-20)	195	Reading	153	78%	
	Writing	80	61%	<del>(-18)</del>		Writing	155	79%	
	Maths	84	64%	(-17)		Maths	158	81%	
	Combined	55	42%	(-23)		Combined	127	65%	

The yellow highlighted data shows how far behind disadvantaged children are behind their non-disadvantaged peers. From this it can be seen that the percentage gap closes during the time pupils are at TWS.

TWS Data Compared with National Data

Disadvanta	aged			Non-Disadvantaged					
		TWS National				TWS	National		
Reception	GLD	44%	49.1%	Reception	GLD	77%	68.8%		
Year 2	Reading 50% 51%		51%	Year 2	Reading	78%	72%		
	Writing	59%	41%		Writing	73%	63%		
	Maths	59%	52%		Maths	84%	73%		
Year 6	Reading	48%	62%	Year 6	Reading	70%	80%		
	Writing		55%		Writing	87%	75%		
	Maths	63%	<u>56%</u>		Maths	73%	<u>78%</u>		

Looking at the above data, it can be seen that with the exception of reading, disadvantaged children at TWS are outperforming their peers nationally. This is exactly the same for non-disadvantaged.

Progress for the academic year 2021 – 2022

End of KS2 Data

<u>Disadvantaged comparison – TWS, LA, Nationally.</u>

				READ	ING			WRITI	NG			MATI	HS	
Estab No.	Estab. Name	Av Total KS Cohort Pt Scor	1	% Prog. . Score ≥0		Conf. Int.	Cover.	% Prog. Score≥0		Conf. Int.	Cover.	% Prog. Score ≥0		Conf. Int.
-	NCER National (all schools)	140,980 7	1 94.0%	46.0%	-0.85	±0.03	95.0%	51.0%	-0.77	±0.03	94.0%	42.0%	-1.20	±0.03
-	LA (state-funded schools)	3,431 7	2 95.3%	40.1%	-1.93	±0.22	96.5%	47.9%	-1.21	±0.21	95.0%	35.4%	-2.29	±0.20
5269	Thomas Willingale School	27 7	4 100.0%	48.1%	-0.96	±2.41	100.0%	55.6%	+0.90	±2.33	100.0%	51.9%	+0.55	±2.24

The above data shows that in Reading we have out-performed the LA and are only behind national by 0.11. In both maths and writing we have significantly out-performed when compared with the LA and national statistics.

## Non-disadvantaged comparison – TWS, LA, National

					READI	NG			WRITI	NG			MATI	HS	
Estab No.	Estab. Name	Total Cohort I	Avg. KS1 Pt Score	Cover.	% Prog. Score ≥0		Conf. Int.	Cover.	% Prog. Score ≥0		Conf. Int.	Cover.	% Prog. Score ≥0		Conf. Int.
-	NCER National (all schools)	406,270	8.0	95.0%	55.0%	+0.44	±0.02	95.0%	58.0%	+0.41	±0.02	94.0%	54.0%	+0.56	±0.02
-	LA (state-funded schools)	12,829	8.0	96.3%	51.6%	-0.04	±0.11	96.6%	59.5%	+0.65	±0.11	95.9%	51.9%	+0.25	±0.11
5269	Thomas Willingale School	31	8.0	93.5%	51.7%	+0.23	±2.32	93.5%	58.6%	+1.83	±2.25	93.5%	58.6%	+1.61	±2.17

Looking at the non-disadvantaged, we can see that as with the disadvantaged, in Reading we have out-performed the LA and are only slightly behind national. In both maths and writing we have significantly out-performed when compared with the LA and national statistics.